

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	۷O. F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/627,487		07/25/2003	Timothy R. Machold	RADME-64498	RADME-64498 4201		
24201	7590	09/05/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
	DER PATTO		NASSER, ROBERT L				
10TH FL	NTER DRIV JOOR	E		ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
LOS AN	LOS ANGELES, CA 90045			3735			
				DATE MAILED: 09/05/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/627,487	MACHOLD ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Robert L. Nasser	3735			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D/ Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period version for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. hely filed the mailing date of this cond (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the practice of the	action is non-final.		merits is		
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 30-35 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 30 and 32-35 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 31 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a cord applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	wn from consideration. r election requirement. r. epted or b) □ objected to by the beginning of the legal	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFF			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some color None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/31/2003.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/627,487

Art Unit: 3735

It is the examiner's position that the current claims are sufficiently distinct from applicant's earlier patents that no double patenting rejection is warranted at this time.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 30 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over Saab 5624392 in view of Saringer 58954128, Utterberg et al 6383158, and Ginsburg et al 6620188. Saab shows a heat exchange catheter system having a heat exchange catheter, but it does not show the fluid supply structure. Saringer teaches in figures 22-24 a device to supply heat exchange fluid to a heat exchange device, a pad in this case, including a pump 314, an air vent 358 (see column 10, lines 47-50), and an external heat exchanger 310. It would have been obvious to modify Saab to use such a fluid supply circuit, as it is merely the use of a known circuit to supply fluid to devices like Saab. The combination does not have vents that dot no allow passage of liquid. Utterberg further teaches a hydrophobic vent is another known method to eliminate air from a fluid line. Hence, it would have been obvious to modify the combination to use such a vent, as it is merely the substitution of one known equivalent air removal technique for another. In addition, Ginsburg teaches external fluid source 331 for

Art Unit: 3735

priming the system, to ensure proper functioning. Hence, it would have been obvious to modify the above combination to use such a fluid source, to ensure proper functioning of the device. The combination performs the steps of the method in claim 30.

Claims 32-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saab in view of Saringer, Utterberg et al and Ginsburg, as applied to claim 30 above, further in view of Leung 4548212. Leung teaches damping chambers 26 and 27 for damping pressure variations in the flow to eliminate turbulence. Hence, it would have been obvious to modify Fontenot to use such a dampener, to avoid turbulence and provide a more uniform distribution of temperature.

Claims 35 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saab in view of Saringer Utterberg et al and Ginsburg, as applied to claim 30 above, further in view of Kolen et al 5980561. Kolen teaches using a constant pressure circulation pump in column 6, line 41. The examiner takes official notice that is known to drive a pump to produce constant pressure out by supplying it with constant current. Hence, it would have been obvious to modify the above combination, as it is merely the substitution of one known equivalent pump for another.

Claim 31 is has the level sensor that automatically triggers the external fluid source buy opening a valve, as claimed. objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/627,487

Art Unit: 3735

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert L. Nasser whose telephone number is 571 272-4731. The examiner can normally be reached on m-f 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Marmor II can be reached on 571 272-4730. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Robert L. Nasser Primary Examiner Art Unit 3735

Ret & Nessy

RLN August 29, 2006